

Identifier of the article.....

Agricultural Engineering

Scientific journals of The Committee on Agricultural Engineering of the Polish Academy of Sciences

Dear Madam or Sir,

Publishing house of the journal "Agricultural Engineering" kindly requests you to review the enclosed scientific paper, designated for publication in Scientific Journals (ISSN 1429-7264), with the use of the following questionnaire. Author's (co-authors') identity was purposefully classified. Therefore, we kindly request to maintain the questionnaire in confidentiality and unavailable to third parties.

The completed questionnaire may be submitted: 1) personally; 2) by post; 3) fax (+48126624699); 4) mail – scanned (Redakcja@ir.ptir.org or Maciej.Kubon@ur.krakow.pl).

In case, you are not able to review the article within two weeks time, please inform me. In the event, the issue of the article goes beyond the field of your interest I would be thankful for indication of another possible reviewer.

Sincerely Secretary of the Publishing house Maciej Kuboń

Title of the article:			
REVIEW SHEET*			
1.	General value of the article		
1.	a) the article contributes to the development of science		
	b) the article does not include any new information		
	c) the article is not a scientific paper		
	d) the article does not correspond to the profile of a scientific journal		
2.	Does the title correspond to the content of the paper?		
	a) yes, it does		
	b) partially (consider reviewer's comments)		
	c) no, it does not		
3.	Does the introduction correspond to the issue of the research and is it adequate to the issue?		
	a) yes, it does		
	b) it needs to be modified or completed		
	c) no, it does not		
4.	Experimental material and research methods (does not apply in case of theoretical papers)		
	a) sufficient		
	b) insufficient		
5.	Development of results (does not apply in case of theoretical papers)		
	a) correct		
	b) acceptable after consideration of the reviewer's comments	• • • • •	
	c) incorrect	••••	
6.	Elaboration of statistics		
	a) sufficient		
	b) insufficient (acceptable after consideration of the reviewer's comments)		
7	c) erroneous		
7.	Table and figures a) correct		
	b) tables need to be corrected: (no)	• • • • •	
	c) figures need to be corrected: (no)		
	10) in Barret in our controlled. (inc)		

^{*} Place "x" next to correct answers

8.	Correctness of mathematical formulas			
0.	a) correct			
	b) need to be corrected (no)	••••		
	c) incorrect	••••		
	d) no mathematical formulas	• • • • •		
		• • • • •		
9.	Bibliography			
	a) correct, including new titles of the national and foreign literature			
	c) incomplete, lack of crucial titles			
	b) incorrectly listed - not according to the publisher's instruction			
10.	Conclusions and summary			
	a) correct			
	b) acceptable after consideration of the reviewer's comments			
	c) incorrect			
11.	Abstract and key words			
	a) correct			
	b) need to be modified			
12.	Final conclusion			
	a) the article may be accepted in the present form	• • • •		
	b) the article may be accepted after consideration of the reviewer's comments	• • • •		
	c) the article may be accepted after a complete re-edition and re-reviewing	• • • • •		
	e) the article may not be published by the Publisher KTR PAN	• • • •		
		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Date:.				